| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | 09/821,400 | SEKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | RAMY M. OSMAN | 2457 | |

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** _____

(1) *RAMY M. OSMAN*. (3) _____.

(2) *Stanley Ference (reg 33879)*. (4) _____.

**Date of Interview:** *21 November 2008* **Time:** _____

**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
*1*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner suggested language to be added to the claims to place the claims in condition for allowance. Attorney Ference agreed to the suggestions and authorized an examiners amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)